

Ionization of Hydroxamic Acids in Aqueous Solutions

Shahid Abbas ABBASI* and Jameel AHMED**

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay-400076, India

(Received October 18, 1975)

Synopsis. Thermodynamic ionization constants of sixteen hydroxamic acids at 25 °C and 35 °C in aqueous solution and the corresponding ΔH° (standard enthalpy change) are presented.

Hydroxamic acids have extensive application in analytical¹⁾ and medicinal chemistry.²⁾ The ionization of a hydroxamic acid has been found to have a strong bearing on its effectiveness as an analytical¹⁾ or biological³⁾ reagent. This led to several studies on the determination of ionization constants of hydroxamic acids.^{3–5)} Most of these studies, however, were performed in media of high, constant ionic strength and at a single temperature (usually 25 °C) and the results are valid for only these conditions. The determination was carried out without taking necessary precaution to avoid carbon dioxide from the titration-solutions. The presence of dissolved atmospheric gases such as CO₂ in the hydroxamic acid solutions during pH titrations are liable to effect the ionization. In the present communication, thermodynamic ionization constants of a number of hydroxamic acids, determined under rigorously controlled conditions at 25 °C and 35 °C are reported.

Experimental

Hydroxamic Acids. The hydroxamic acids were prepared by following the general method of Blatt.⁶⁾ They were recrystallized repeatedly until they showed a sharp melting point. The purity was checked by microanalysis, gas-liquid chromatography and IR spectroscopy. Conductivity water

was used throughout. All other reagents were of analytical grade.

Determination of Thermodynamic Ionization Constants. A weighed quantity of hydroxamic acid was placed in a thermostated titration vessel containing 50 ml of aqueous HClO₄ solution (1.00×10^{-3} M). Nitrogen, after being passed in succession through a train of guard tubes containing, pyrogallic acid, 3 M KOH solution and distilled water, was bubbled into the titration vessel. The rest of the experimental set-up for pH titration and the method of calculation of ionization constants was essentially the same as that described by Goldberg.⁷⁾ The expressions involved are:

$$K_a = \frac{[H^+][A^-]\gamma_{H^+}\gamma_{A^-}}{[HA]\gamma_{HA}} \quad (1)$$

where HA represents hydroxamic acid. It follows that

$$pK_a = -\log [H^+] + \log \frac{[HA]}{[A^-]} + 2 \log \frac{1}{\gamma_{\pm}} \quad (2)$$

the activity coefficient of uncharged species being taken as unity.

The log [H⁺] values are read from the pH meter and γ_{\pm} , the mean activity coefficient, is obtained by interpolation of the data from Harned and Owen.⁸⁾ The titration was repeated until two sets of values differing within ± 0.01 pH units were obtained.

Results and Discussion

The results for one representative titration, namely for salicylohydroxamic acid at 25 °C, are given in Table 1. The thermodynamic ionization constants of fourteen

TABLE 1. DETERMINATION OF THERMODYNAMIC IONIZATION CONSTANT OF
SALICYLO HYDROXAMIC ACID AT 25 ± 0.1 °C
(Salicylohydroxamic acid)=0.01 M, (KOH)=0.1000 M.

I Titrant (0.1000 M KOH) ml	II pH	III Stoichiometric concentration		IV HA/A ⁻	V log of column IV	VI log 1/ γ_{\pm}	VII pK _a
		HA	A ⁻				
0.50	6.45	0.009	0.001	9/1	0.954	0.015	7.42
1.00	6.80	0.008	0.002	8/2	0.602	0.021	7.42
1.50	7.02	0.007	0.003	7/3	0.368	0.025	7.41
2.00	7.23	0.006	0.004	6/4	0.176	0.028	7.41
2.50	7.32	0.005	0.005	5/5	0.000	0.032	7.42
3.00	7.54	0.004	0.006	4/6	-0.176	0.035	7.40
3.50	7.75	0.003	0.007	3/7	-0.368	0.037	7.42
4.00	7.97	0.002	0.008	2/8	-0.602	0.040	7.41
4.50	8.33	0.001	0.009	1/9	-0.954	0.042	7.42

Result: Av. pK_a = 7.41 ± 0.01

pH values are accurate to ± 0.01 units.

* To whom correspondance should be made.

** Department of Chemistry, Saifia College, Bhopal (M.P.), India.

TABLE 2. THERMODYNAMIC IONIZATION CONSTANTS AND STANDARD ENTHALPY CHANGES

No.	Hydroxamic acid	pK_a at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$	pK_a at $35 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$	ΔH°
1	Benzo-	8.89 ± 0.01	8.79 ± 0.02	4.21
2	<i>N</i> -Phenylbenzo-	8.41 ± 0.01	8.30 ± 0.01	4.62
3	Formo-	8.78 ± 0.02	8.67 ± 0.01	4.62
4	Glycine-	7.80 ± 0.02	7.71 ± 0.01	3.79
5	D-Tyrosine-	9.35 ± 0.02	9.21 ± 0.02	5.89
6	L-Tyrosine-	9.35 ± 0.02	9.21 ± 0.02	5.89
7	D-Lysine-	8.11 ± 0.01	7.98 ± 0.02	5.47
8	L-Lysine-	8.11 ± 0.02	7.98 ± 0.02	5.47
9	L-Lacto-	9.45 ± 0.01	9.37 ± 0.01	3.37
10	Chloroaceto-	8.53 ± 0.01	8.42 ± 0.01	4.62
11	<i>o</i> -Aminobenzo-	9.29 ± 0.02	9.17 ± 0.02	5.05
12	<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzo-	9.06 ± 0.01	8.95 ± 0.02	4.62
13	Salicylo-	7.41 ± 0.01	7.33 ± 0.01	3.37
14	5-Nitrosalicylo-	6.89 ± 0.01	9.01 ± 0.01	3.37
15	<i>N</i> -Phenylcinnamo-	9.11 ± 0.01	9.01 ± 0.01	4.21
16	<i>N</i> -Furoylbenzo-	8.14 ± 0.02	8.02 ± 0.01	5.05

hydroxamic acids along with those of reference substance benzo- and *N*-phenylbenzohydroxamic acids are given in Table 2, together with the values of standard enthalpy change, ΔH° , obtained by integrating van't Hoff's equation⁹⁾ at two temperatures, T_1 (298 K) and T_2 (308 K):

$$\log \frac{K_{a2}}{K_{a1}} = \frac{\Delta H^\circ (T_2 - T_1)}{4.567 T_1 T_2}$$

where $\log K_a = -pK_a$.

Since the hydroxamic acids differ widely in structure and basicity (pK_a between 6.89 to 9.45 at 25°C), it can be assumed that ΔH° is in general positive for the ionization of hydroxamic acids. There is, however, no definite trend in the magnitudes of change in values of ΔH° with change in the groups attached to the functional $-\text{C}=\text{O}$ and $-\text{N}-\text{OH}$ groups. It can be seen that while

increase in the conjugation at the $-\text{C}=\text{O}$ site (compound XV) increases the basicity of the compound relative to benzohydroxamic acid, introduction of π systems at the $-\text{N}-\text{OH}$ fragment reduces the basicity (compounds II, XVI). With hydroxamic acids derived from amino acids, the pK_a values are in line with the basicity of the corresponding amino acids.¹⁰⁾

The author is grateful to Dr. B. G. Bhat and Dr. R. S. Singh of I. I. T. Bombay, and the authorities of Saifia College, Bhopal, for providing necessary facilities, to Chandrashekharan and Unnikrishnan for technical help, and to the C. S. I. R., New Delhi, for a Senior Research Fellowship.

References

- 1) A. K. Majumdar, "*N*-Benzoylphenylhydroxylamine and its analogues," Pergamon Press, Oxford (1972), Chapters 2 and 3.
- 2) G. R. Gale and L. M. Atkins, *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.*, **180**, 289 (1969).
- 3) B. E. Hackley, Jr., R. Plapinger, M. Stolberg, and T. Wagner Jauregg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **77**, 3651 (1955).
- 4) R. L. Dutta and S. Ghosh, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **44**, 820 (1967).
- 5) J. P. C. Jaimini and N. C. Sogani, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **47**, 247 (1970).
- 6) A. H. Blatt, *Org. Synth.*, Coll. Vol. II, 67 (1943).
- 7) D. E. Goldberg, *J. Chem. Educ.*, **39**, 328 (1962); **40**, 341 (1963).
- 8) H. S. Harned and B. B. Owen, "The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions," Reinhold, New York (1958).
- 9) G. N. Lewis and M. Randell, "Thermodynamics," McGraw Hill, New York (1961), Chapter 15.
- 10) L. G. Sillen, E. Hogfeldt, A. E. Martell, and R. M. Smith, Editors, "Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes," Supplement No. 1, Special Publication No. 25, The Chemical Society, Burlington House, London (1970).